

Unifed States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/846,979	05/01/2001	W. Brian Darling	31428	3905	
75	90 12/23/2003	EXAMINER NASH, BRIAN D			
	LUEBBERING				
HOVEY, WILLIAMS, TIMMONS & COLLINS 2405 Grand, Suite 400 Kansas City, MO 64108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•									
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Action Summary		09/846,9	979	DARLING ET AL.	CN				
		Examine	or	Art Unit					
		Brian D I		3721	<u> </u>				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	tion appears on th	ne cover she t with the	correspondence addre	ess				
THE - Extraordite - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ays, a reply within the standary period will apply and by statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror pplication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comn ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 03 October 20	03.						
•	•	☐ This action is r							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applica ¹	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-33 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction tion Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objectio	vithdrawn from continuous of the continuous of	requirement. ed or b)⊡ objected to						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	e correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,							
12) a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the complete of the priority does a complete of the priority does a complete of the priority does a complete of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for complete of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the complete of the complete of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority does a claim for copies of the certified copies of the priority does a claim for copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the priority does a copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a copies of the certified copies of the priority does a co	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum Bureau (PCT Ruor a list of the cerdomestic priority on the first sentence age provisional adomestic priority of the stick pri	en received. en received in Application the have been received in Application 17.2(a)). tified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 are of the specification of application has been resunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	tion No red in this National State ed. (e) (to a provisional apprin an Application Date ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a series.	oplication) ata Sheet.				
Attachme			_						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/846,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received 3 October 2003. Applicant has neither amended or cancelled any claims and has added new claim 34. The pending claims are now 1-34.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 31-33 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 10. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 11-12, 14, 16, 21-23, 26-27, 30, and 34 are rejected under 35

 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,690,387 to Dixon. Dixon discloses the same invention including a rotation drive mechanism having a rotation motor (21) with first and second ports and a drive linkage (67) coupled thereto (see Fig. 1); first and second media lines (66) connected to respective ports of the rotation motor; a float system (see Fig. 2) having a valve (63) interposed between the first and second media lines (66) and a control mechanism (64) that switches the valve between a closed position substantially isolating one media line from

Application/Control Number: 09/846,979 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

another and an open position wherein the first and second media lines (66) are in communication with each other (see column 6, lines 24-42); equalization of pressure between ports (66) permitting the rotating body (16) to rotate toward a side load (see column 8, lines 15-27); also included are a blocking valve (83); and a flow control device (79,82 – see column 7, lines 34-47) positioned in-line with the valve (63) which passively limits the flow rate of the pressurized fluid.

Regarding the amendments to claims 1 and 12 wherein the control mechanism further includes a manual actuation mechanism the examiner again refers to Dixon (see Fig. 3, greater detail of Fig. 2). Dixon discloses a control lever (119), which is actuated by an operator, for control valve (63) (see column 10, lines 39-42).

Regarding new claims 26 and 30, the examiner refers to Dixon (see column 11, lines 1-19 and column 13, line 40 to column 14, line 10) wherein Dixon discloses substantially automatic control of a side loading system when a predetermined pressure is exceeded as well as manual operation of a float system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 7-10, 15, 17-20, 24-25, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon in view of US 4,093,091 to Gregg et al. As discussed above in

Application/Control Number: 09/846,979

Art Unit: 3721

paragraph 4 of this office action Dixon discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not include float system having an electronic control mechanism, a tilt switch, an indicator coupled to the control mechanism, or an electrical relay between the control mechanism and the valve. However, Gregg teaches the use of an overload sensing means having an electronic transducer and logic system (see Gregg, column 2, lines 10-15); a strain gauge (72) and a switch (80) in association with valve (56) for detection of and tilt control; and indicator signals (A,B,C,D) – all for the purpose of providing the operator with notification prior to an overload situation. In view of Gregg, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Dixon's mechanical float control system with an electronic system including switches and indicators for control and notification of overloaded side load conditions for the purpose of providing a more reliable system because such mechanical elements alone may stick (such as valves) an render such systems inoperable (see Gregg, column 1, lines 33-41) as well as providing the operator with such notification means.

Regarding claims 9 and 19, examiners construes indicators, as disclosed by Gregg, to inherently incorporate either an audible or visible notification. While Gregg does not specifically disclose an audible or visual alarm, it is well known in the art that such indicators include such a notification mechanism (e.g. visual light on an operator console).

Regarding claims 24 and 28, Dixon discloses a flow control device (79,82 – see column 7, lines 34-47) positioned in-line with the valve (63) which passively limits the flow rate of the pressurized fluid. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to regulate the flow rate of the pressurized fluid via an orifice having dimensions that restrict and limit since the valve shuttle and land (79,82 respectively) serve the same function and produce the same results.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,979

Art Unit: 3721

7. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon. As discussed above in paragraph 4 of this office action Dixon discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not disclose the use of a pair of poppet-type solenoid valves interposed between the two ports of the rotary motor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a poppet-type solenoid valve since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of a shuttle valve (63,64) and poppet-type solenoid valve for their use in the hydraulic system art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to a poppet-type solenoid valve would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends, *inter alia*, that the Dixon reference does not perform the same function as the claimed invention. Examiner acknowledges applicant's position; however, a reference is deemed to properly anticipate a claim when all the recited limitations are disclosed therein. In this instance, Dixon clearly shows all the recited structural limitations including several manually operable control levers (119) for each of four valves (115, 116, 63, 117), wherein valve (115) controls boom extension/contraction, (116) controls boom raising/lowering, (117) controls the pole claw, and (63) controls boom rotation. While it is noted that the device of Dixon does not perform the same function as applicant's invention, it is deemed that the claims are not restrictive to such device.

For the reasons above, the grounds for rejection are deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,979

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hoffelmeyer et al, Wilhelm, Gray, Anderson, Kamada, and Anderson et al are cited to show related references.

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Nash whose telephone number is (703) 305-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached at 703 308-2187.

The fax number for this Group is:

703-872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Brian D. Nash 17 December 2003

> Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 6